The Arabidopsis miR472-RDR6 Silencing Pathway Modulates PAMP- and Effector-Trigged Immunity through the Post-transcriptional Control of Disease Resistance Genes
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Abstract

RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE 6 (RDR6) is a key RNA silencing factor initially characterized in transgene silencing and virus resistance. This enzyme also contributes to the biosynthesis of endogenous short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) from non-coding RNAs, transposable elements and protein-coding transcripts. One class of protein-coding transcripts that have recently emerged as major sources of RDR6-dependent siRNAs are nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat (NB-LRR) proteins, a family of immune-receptors that perceive specific pathogen effector proteins and mount Effector-Triggered Immunity (ETI). Nevertheless, the dynamic post-transcriptional control of NB-LRR transcripts during the plant immune response and the functional relevance of NB-LRRs in signaling events triggered by Pathogen-Associated Molecular Patterns (PAMPs) remain elusive. Here, we show that PTI is constitutive and sensitized in the Arabidopsis rdr6 loss-of-function mutant, implicating RDR6 as a novel negative regulator of PTI. Accordingly, rdr6 mutant exhibits enhanced basal resistance towards a virulent Pseudomonas syringae strain. We further provide evidence that dozens of CC-NB-LRRs (CNLs), including the functionally characterized RpS5 gene, are post-translationally controlled by RDR6 both constitutively and during PTI. These CNL transcripts are also regulated by the Arabidopsis microRNA miR472 and knock-down of this miRNA recapitulates the PTI and basal resistance phenotypes observed in the rdr6 mutant background. Furthermore, both miR472 and rdr6 mutants were more resistant to Pto DC3000 expressing AvrPphB, a bacterial effector recognized by the disease resistance protein RpS5, whereas transgenic plants overexpressing miR472 were more susceptible to this bacterial strain. Finally, we show that the enhanced basal and RpS5-mediated resistance phenotypes observed in the rdr6 mutant are dependent on the proper chaperoning of NB-LRR proteins, and might therefore be due to the enhanced accumulation of CNL proteins whose cognate mRNAs are no longer controlled by RDR6-dependent siRNAs. Altogether, this study supports a model whereby the miR472- and RDR6-mediated silencing pathway represents a key regulatory checkpoint modulating both PTI and ETI responses through the post-transcriptional control of disease resistance genes.
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Introduction

To defend themselves against pathogens, plants have evolved potent inducible immune responses. The first line of active defense relies on the recognition of common features of microbial pathogens, such as flagellin (the major protein of bacterial flagellum), lipopolysaccharides, glycoproteins and chitin [1]. These microbial determinants are referred to as Pathogen- or Microbe-Associated Molecular Patterns (PAMPs/MAMPs) and are sensed by host-encoded Pattern-Recognition Receptors (PRRs) or surface receptors, which encode transmembrane receptor-like kinases. Upon PAMP detection, PRRs trigger a series of immune responses including, for instance, MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) activation, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, differential expression of genes, callose (β-1,3-glucose polymer) deposition and stomatal closure, which ultimately leads to basal immunity or PAMP-Triggered Immunity (PTI) [2–5]. To enable disease, pathogens produce a large array of divergent virulent determinants known as pathogen effectors that suppress different steps of PTI, resulting in disease susceptibility [6,7]. As a counter-counter defense strategy, plants have evolved a repertoire of immune receptors, called disease resistance (R) proteins that can sense effector proteins and establish effector-triggered-immunity (ETI) [1]. The largest class of R proteins is composed of intracellular...
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receptors that share structural homologies with mammalian innate immune receptors, such as NUCLEOTIDE-BINDING OLIgomerization Domain-CONTAINing PROTEIN 1 (NOD1) and NOD2, which perceive bacterial PAMPs [8]. Plant NOD-like receptors (NLRs) are composed of nucleotide-binding (NB) and leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domains. They additionally contain an N-terminal domain that is composed of either a Toll/ interleukin1 receptor (TIR) or a coiled-coil (CC) module, and are thus referred to as TNLs or CNLs, respectively [9]. These R proteins can directly sense pathogen effectors [1], however, in most cases they recognize indirectly these virulent determinants by detecting their effects on plant target proteins called ‘guardes’ [10]. Upon pathogen effector recognition, R proteins trigger a series of immune responses that significantly overlap with PTI responses, albeit with a stronger amplitude, and often result in a form of programmed cell death known as the hypersensitive response (HR) [1]. Importantly, constitutive expression or activation of R proteins often leads to constitutive cell death as well as severe developmental defects in the absence of pathogen [11–16], indicating that R genes and their products must be under tight negative control in unchallenged conditions. Consistent with this idea, transcriptional regulation, RNA processing, protein modifications, protein stability, and necrocytoplasmic trafficking were shown to play a critical role in controlling R-mediated autoimmune responses [17].

More recently, RNA silencing has also emerged as a key regulatory mechanism that negatively regulates R gene expression [18–24]. RNA silencing is an ancestral gene regulatory mechanism that controls gene expression at the transcriptional (TGS, Transcriptional Gene Silencing) and post-transcriptional (PTGS, Post-transcriptional Gene Silencing) levels. The core mechanism of RNA silencing starts with the production of double stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) that are processed by RNase-III enzymes DICERs into 20–24 nt small RNA duplexes. One selected strand is subsequently incorporated into an RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) containing an argonaute (AGO) protein, and guides these complexes onto sequence complementary RNA/DNA targets. The plant model Arabidopsis thaliana encodes 4 DICER-like proteins and 10 AGOs. DCL1 processes microRNAs that are mostly incorporated into the AGO1-RISC that guides mRNA degradation and/or translation inhibition of sequence complementary mRNA targets. DCL2, DCL3 and DCL4 are involved in the biogenesis of short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) from extensive dsRNAs produced from read through, convergent or overlapping transcription, endogenous hairpins as well as some microRNA precursors [25,26]. As an example, overlapping sense and antisense transcripts that are produced at a functionally relevant disease resistance gene cluster, were found to be processed into siRNAs, leading to the down-regulation of several disease resistance gene transcripts within this cluster [18]. In addition, a large proportion of dsRNAs are produced by RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RDRs) that convert single stranded RNAs into dsRNAs. RDR6, which is one of six Arabidopsis RDRs, produces dsRNAs from viral and transgene transcripts as well as some endogenous transcripts [27]. These dsRNAs are processed in part by DCL4 into 21 nt siRNAs that direct PTGS of endogenous mRNA targets or exogenous RNAs derived from sense-transgenes or viral RNAs [28–31]. In addition to the biogenesis of primary siRNAs, plants have evolved the production of secondary siRNAs as a feed-forward amplification of silencing signals. These siRNAs are produced by the combined action of primary siRNA/miRNA-directed transcript cleavage and the activity of RDRs that use the target transcripts as template to generate dsRNAs [32]. In plants, the best-characterized endogenous secondary siRNAs are termed trans-acting siRNAs (tasRNAs) [33,34]. The biogenesis of these small RNA molecules is initiated by 22 nt long miRNAs that direct AGO1-mediated cleavage of a non-coding TAS primary transcript [35,36]. One of the cleavage products is then converted by RDR6 into dsRNAs, which are processed by DCL4 into 21-nt phased siRNA duplexes. These secondary siRNAs guide an AGO protein to silence sequence complementary mRNA targets in trans.

Importantly, this phenomenon is not restricted to non-coding transcripts but also targets protein-coding transcripts and both TNLs and CNLs have emerged as major targets of this silencing pathway [20,21,22]. For example, two 22 nt long miRNAs that initiate the production of RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs, were found to directly control the tobacco disease resistance gene N, which recognizes the C-terminal helicase domain of the Tobacco Mosaic Virus (TMV) replicase protein [22]. These miRNAs play a functional role in N-regulation because their overexpression was shown to compromise N-mediated resistance to TMV [22]. Another recent study conducted in Solanum lycopersicum showed that miR482, a 22 nt long conserved miRNA that targets dozen of CNLs, was down-regulated in response to unrelated viruses as well as to a bacterium that encode RNA silencing suppressors [21]. Interestingly, this phenomenon was associated with the derepression of some CNLs that are targeted by miR482, suggesting that pathogen-triggered suppression of RNA silencing likely derepresses a whole repertoire of immune receptors during infection that might contribute to plant immunity [21].

Recent findings have thus revealed a critical role of miRNA-directed phased siRNA production in controlling the expression of R gene transcripts in the context of pathogen infection. Nevertheless, the interplay between the dynamic regulation of the RNA silencing machinery involved in miRNA-directed secondary siRNA production and the post-transcriptional regulation of R gene transcripts that are targeted by these small RNA species remains unknown. In addition, whereas some intracellular immune-receptors have recently been characterized in basal defense as well as plant defense against a disarmed bacterium very little is known on the functional relevance of plant NLRs in PTI [21]. The present study addresses some of these important issues by studying the regulation of RDR6 during antibacterial defense and the role of this silencing factor in the control of CNLs that are targeted by the Arabidopsis miR472, a miRNA related to miR492.

**Results**

*Arabidopsis* RDR6 negatively regulates PTI responses

Although ARGONAUTE 1 (AGO1) and DICER-LIKE 1 (DCL1) were previously shown to contribute to PTI [37,38], their...
regulation during the plant innate immune response has not been determined. To get a first insight into the regulation of components of PTGS during plant defense, we examined the expression levels of well-characterized PTGS factors in multiple conditions known to trigger PTI responses (Genevestigator database: https://www.genevestigator.com). Results from this analysis revealed that RDR6, AGO1 and SUPPRESSOR OF GENE SILENCING 3 (SGS3) mRNAs [39] were all down-regulated, with RDR6 showing the highest difference (consistently more than 2-fold in the various conditions analyzed) (Figures S1A, S1B). Accordingly, Reverse-Transcriptase Quantitative Polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analyses revealed a significant decrease in RDR6 mRNA levels in Arabidopsis leaves and seedlings treated with the flagellin-derived peptide flg22 (Figure 1), with a decrease in RDR6 transcripts starting at 10 min in Arabidopsis elicited seedlings (Figure S1C). A similar effect was observed with the type-three secretion (TTS) defective mutant Pto DC3000 hrcC, which can elicit, but not suppress, PTI responses due to its inability to inject effector proteins within host cells (Figure S1C). The PAMP-triggered dynamic regulation of RDR6 transcripts therefore suggested a potential role for RDR6 in orchestrating PTI responses. To test this idea, we first monitored the effect of the nbd-15 loss-of-function mutation on the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), one of the earliest components of PTGS during plant defense, we examined the establishment of different defensive barriers against biotrophic pathogens [40]. We observed a more pronounced flg22-triggered oxidative burst in the nbd mutant as compared to WT-elicited plants (Figure 2A). However, given that the kinetics of flg22-triggered ROS production precedes the down-regulation of RDR6 transcripts in wild-type treated plants (Figure 1), these results suggest that the repression of RDR6 mRNAs is unlikely causative for this early PTI response. We also monitored the expression of PTI marker genes and found a primed induction of Flg22 RECEPTOR KINASE 1 (FRK1) in the nbd-elicited mutant (Figure 2B, [41]). Of note, induction of FRK1 as well as the two other early PTI marker genes WRKY22 and WRKY29 was also moderately sensitized upon syringe infiltration of water in nbd-versus WT-leaves (Figure 2B), suggesting that RDR6 may additionally repress a wounding response caused by mechanical stress.

We further monitored the flg22-triggered formation of cell wall depositions of callose, a late PTI response that plays a critical role in the establishment of basal immunity [42,43]. An increase in flg22-induced callose depositions was observed in the nbd-15 mutant as compared to WT plants, reinforcing a role for RDR6 in repressing this late PTI response (Figure 2C). It is noteworthy that a higher number of callose deposits were also observed in mock-treated nbd-15 mutant versus WT plants, but not in untreated nbd-15 mutant leaves (data not shown), suggesting that RDR6 may additionally prevent callose deposition upon wounding caused by syringe infiltration.

Natural surface openings, such as stomata, are important entry sites for bacterial plant pathogens such as Pto DC3000 and previous studies have shown that stomata closure plays an active role in limiting bacterial invasion as part of PTI responses [4]. Furthermore, flg2 mutants were found to be more susceptible to Pto DC3000 upon spray inoculation, although no discernable phenotype was observed using classical syringe infiltration assay, which bypassed basal immunity present at the leaf surface [44]. Given that the nbd-15 mutant was sensitized for multiple flg22-triggered PTI responses, we reasoned that such silencing-deficient mutant might display enhanced resistance to Pto DC3000 upon spray inoculation. Consistent with this hypothesis, we found ~10 times lower bacterial titer on nbd-15 mutant as compared to WT plants spray inoculated with Pto DC3000 (Figure 2D). Collectively, these data provide evidence that the RNA silencing factor RDR6 acts as a negative regulator of basal immunity. These results also suggest that some positive regulators of plant defense are likely to be directly controlled by RDR6-dependent siRNAs.

**RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs target a subset of mRNAs encoding CNL proteins**

Besides generating siRNAs directed against viral-, transgene- and transposon-derived RNAs, **RDR6** is known to produce secondary 21 nt siRNAs from several endogenous loci including **TAS** genes [25,26]. We thus searched for candidate defense gene transcripts that would be directly controlled by RDR6-dependent siRNAs. We used publicly available small RNA libraries derived from WT and nbd mutant leaves and selected candidate genes with a significant reduced amount of 21 nt siRNAs in the nbd as compared to the WT background. Using such criterion, we identified 75 loci that were likely targeted by RDR6-dependent siRNAs. Among those, 27 were previously annotated as TAS genes or tasiRNA targets. The remaining 48 protein-coding genes were enriched in GO categories ‘response to stress’ (http://bar.utoronto.ca/welcome.htm), (Figure S2), and include well-characterized RDR6-dependent targets such as AGO1, which is targeted by miR168-directed secondary siRNAs [45]. In addition, thirteen other candidate genes were annotated as miRNA targets and include multiple disease resistance gene transcripts that were previously identified as targets of miR472 (Figures S3, S4), a 22 nt long miRNA that is at least in part loaded into AGO1-RISC [35,46]. These *R* genes are phylogenetically related to the functionally relevant disease resistance gene **RPS5**, which was previously characterized in ETI [47].

**RDR6-dependent siRNAs negatively regulate a subset of CNL transcripts both constitutively and during flg22 elicitation**

Given that At1g51480 and At5g43730 were among the CNL transcripts with the most matching secondary siRNAs (Figure
S4), we decided to further characterize their regulation by RDR6 in both naïve and flg22-challenged conditions. These candidate genes are referred to here as Resistance Silenced Gene 1 (RSG1, At1g51480) and Resistance Silenced Gene 2 (RSG2, At5g43730). We also included RPS5 in this analysis, which was previously validated as miR472 target in Parallel Analysis of RNA Ends (PARE) datasets. We found a mild enhanced accumulation of these three candidate transcripts in unchallenged rdr6 mutant as compared to non-treated WT seedlings (Figure 3A), suggesting that these mRNAs are weakly controlled by RDR6-dependent siRNAs in naïve conditions, presumably due to their low basal transcriptional level in unchallenged conditions as previously observed for several disease resistance genes [17,48]. We next monitored the levels of these mRNAs upon flg22 treatment in both WT and rdr6 mutant backgrounds. Whereas a mild increased induction of these transcripts was found in WT-elicited background, as observed in publicly available datasets (Figure S5), a 10- to 20-fold enhanced accumulation of these transcripts was obtained in the rdr6-elicited mutant seedlings, indicating cell priming in the absence of RDR6-dependent siRNAs (Figure 3B). These results therefore indicate that RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs negatively regulate these CNL transcripts and that this post-transcriptional regulatory control is particularly relevant during PTI, when disease resistance genes are presumably transcriptionally activated.

MiR472 negatively regulates PTI responses and resistance against virulent Pto DC3000

Given that miR472 was shown to target the above CNL mRNAs and to initiate the production of RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs at these loci [20,21,22], we next characterized the role of this particular miRNA in the regulation of these candidate CNL transcripts as well as other orphan targets. For this purpose, we first transformed Arabidopsis with a construct containing AtmiR472 driven by the strong Cauliflower Mosaic Virus (CaMV) 35S promoter and selected a reference line (referred to as miR472OE line) exhibiting high miR472 accumulation compared to WT (Figure 4A). This line displayed a 25% and 30% reduction in the accumulation of RPS5 and RSG1 transcripts, respectively upon flg22 treatment in both WT and rdr6 mutant backgrounds. Whereas a mild increased induction of these transcripts was found in WT-elicited background, as observed in publicly available datasets (Figure S5), a 10- to 20-fold enhanced accumulation of these transcripts was obtained in the rdr6-elicited mutant seedlings, indicating cell priming in the absence of RDR6-dependent siRNAs (Figure 3B). These results therefore indicate that RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs negatively regulate these CNL transcripts and that this post-transcriptional regulatory control is particularly relevant during PTI, when disease resistance genes are presumably transcriptionally activated.

MiR472 negatively regulates PTI responses and resistance against virulent Pto DC3000

Given that miR472 was shown to target the above CNL mRNAs and to initiate the production of RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs at these loci [20,21,22], we next characterized the role of this particular miRNA in the regulation of these candidate CNL transcripts as well as other orphan targets. For this purpose, we first transformed Arabidopsis with a construct containing AtmiR472 driven by the strong Cauliflower Mosaic Virus (CaMV) 35S promoter and selected a reference line (referred to as miR472OE line) exhibiting high miR472 accumulation compared to WT (Figure 4A). This line displayed a 25% and 30% reduction in the accumulation of RPS5 and RSG1 transcripts, respectively upon flg22 treatment in both WT and rdr6 mutant backgrounds. Whereas a mild increased induction of these transcripts was found in WT-elicited background, as observed in publicly available datasets (Figure S5), a 10- to 20-fold enhanced accumulation of these transcripts was obtained in the rdr6-elicited mutant seedlings, indicating cell priming in the absence of RDR6-dependent siRNAs (Figure 3B). These results therefore indicate that RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs negatively regulate these CNL transcripts and that this post-transcriptional regulatory control is particularly relevant during PTI, when disease resistance genes are presumably transcriptionally activated.
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to wild type seedlings (Figures 4B, 4C, S7). It is noteworthy that no siRNA were identified upstream the miR472 target site, which is in agreement with the rapid degradation of this region after miRNA-guided cleavage [49]. Furthermore, normal levels of tasiRNAs were identified in miR472OE as compared to WT seedlings (Figure S8), proving evidence that the enhanced accumulation of CNL-derived secondary siRNAs are not due to a general activation of the RDR6-dependent pathway in this transgenic line. Collectively, these results strongly reinforce a role for miR472 in initiating the biosynthesis of RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs at our candidate CNL transcripts and revealed additional CNLs that are directly targeted by this regulatory process including the other functionally relevant disease resistance gene SUMM2 (Figure S9) [50].

We next analyzed the mRNA accumulation of two candidate CNLs in the miR472OE line challenged with flg22. Flg22-triggered induction of RPS5 and RSG1 mRNAs was significantly impaired in miR472OE line as compared to WT-elicited control (Figure 5A), supporting a role for miR472 in regulating the accumulation of these targets during flg22 elicitation. We also examined different PTI features in the miR472OE reference line by monitoring ROS production and callose deposition upon flg22 treatment. While this transgenic line displayed a normal flg22-triggered ROS production as compared to WT-elicited control (Figure 5B), we found a reduced number of flg22-induced callose deposits relative to WT-treated plants (Figure 5C), indicating that the miR472OE reference line is altered in the latter PTI response. It is noteworthy that similar PTI phenotypes were observed in another independent transgenic line overexpressing miR472 (Figure S10).

To get further insights into the role of miR472 in the regulation of CNL transcripts and PTI responses, we further characterized a transgenic line carrying a T-DNA insertion within the promoter of the AtmiR472 locus (Salk_087945, referred to as miR472m). This line displayed a drastic decrease in the accumulation of the mature form of miR472 relative to the levels of this miRNA in WT background (Figure S11). Furthermore, a primed induction of RPS5 and RSG1 transcripts was found in the miR472m line relative to WT background treated with flg22 (Figure 5A), supporting a role for miR472 in repressing mRNA accumulation of these CNL mRNAs during flg22 elicitation. Further phenotypic analyses in this line revealed a more pronounced flg22-induced ROS

Figure 3. RDR6-dependent siRNAs negatively regulate a subset of CNL transcripts both constitutively and during flg22 elicitation. (A) The transcript levels of At1g12220 (RPS5), At1g51480 (RSG1) and At5g43730 (RSG2) were detected by RT-qPCR in untreated seedlings. Results of expression represent the ratio rdr6/WT. (B) Transcript levels of RPS5, RSG1 and RSG2 by RT-qPCR in seedling treated or not with flg22 (100 nM) at different time-points. Results represent the ratio of the values between samples treated with flg22 relative to H2O (mock) for rdr6 and WT seedlings. Expression levels are always normalized to the same internal controls At2g36060, At4g29130, and At5g13440. Error bars indicate standard deviation from technical repeats. These experiments were performed in two biological replicates with similar results.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003883.g003
Figure 4. Overexpression of miR472 drastically enhances the accumulation of secondary siRNAs at multiple CNL transcripts. (A) Scatter plot representation of the number of reads corresponding to miRNA stem-loop loci (miRBase release 19) in WT and miR472OE mutant sRNA libraries. The number of reads was library size normalized. The red dot corresponds to miR472. (B) MA plot representation of the results obtained after differential analysis of 20–22 nt small RNAs accumulation from genes, between WT and miR472OE mutant. The y axis represents the log ratio (log10) of the library size normalized number of reads between the 2 datasets and the x axis the average number of reads in the two libraries. Genes with a significantly higher sRNAs accumulation in miR472OE library are shown in red. (C) Example of sRNAs accumulation in WT and miR472OE libraries along 2 CNL genes, RPS5 (AT1g12220) and RSG1 (AT1G51480). Genome browser representation of sRNA reads along. Each arrow corresponds to a specific sRNA sequence with a colour code corresponding to its length as indicated in the legend. Position and alignment of miR472 recognition sites are indicated. It is remarkable that the accumulation of siRNAs is observed downstream the cleavage site of miR472.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003883.g004
production and callose deposition, thereby mimicking the primed PTI responses observed in the rdr6-elicited mutant (Figures 5B, 5C). We thus conclude that miR472 and RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs regulate PTI responses likely by targeting a whole repertoire of CNL transcripts.

Finally to determine the role of miR472 in basal resistance, we inoculated the virulent Pto DC3000 strain on miR472OE and miR472m lines and monitored bacterial titers in these genetic backgrounds as compared to WT-infected control. We found an increased Pto DC3000 titer in the miR472OE line, and, conversely, a reduced growth of this bacterium in the miR472m line as compared to WT-infected control (Figures 5D, S10). These results indicate that miR472 not only represses PTI responses but also negatively regulates basal resistance against Pto DC3000. These results also suggest that a subset of CNLs, which are targeted by miR472 and RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs, may control basal resistance against Pto DC3000.

**MiR472 and RDR6 negatively regulate RPS5-mediated resistance**

The effective targeting of RPS5 mRNAs by miR472 and RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs (Figure 4B, 4C), together with the well-characterized role of RPS5 in recognizing the bacterial effector AvrPphB and mounting ETI [47], prompted us to investigate the role of miR472 and RDR6 in RPS5-mediated resistance. For this purpose, the rdr6-15 and miR472m lines were first inoculated with a Pto DC3000 strain carrying AvrPphB and bacterial titers were monitored at 4 days post-inoculation. Results from these analyses indicated a significant enhanced RPS5-mediated resistance in both rdr6 and miR472m as revealed by lower bacterial titers in these mutants as compared to WT-infected plants (Figure 6A), which is consistent with the enhanced accumulation of RPS5 transcripts in these mutant backgrounds (Figures 3A, 3B, 5A). Of note, this phenomenon was specific to RPS5-mediated resistance, because no phenotype was observed upon inoculation of rdr6 and miR472m lines.

**Figure 5. MiR472 negatively regulates PTI responses and resistance against virulent Pto DC3000.** (A) Expression levels of At1g12220 and At1g51480 detected by RT-qPCR in WT, miR472OE (overexpressor) and miR472m (mutant) seedling treated with either H2O or flg22 (100 nM) for 2 hours. (B) H2O2-dependent luminescence induced by flg22 (100 nM) in WT, miR472OE and miR472m leaves. (C) Callose deposition induced by flg22 (100 nM) in WT, miR472OE and miR472m leaves. (D) Bacterial growth in five- to six-week-old plants from WT, miR472OE and miR472m infiltrated with Pto DC3000 (2×10^5 CFU mL^-1). For C and D values are average ± se of four leaf discs (n=8). Wilcoxon test was performed to determine the significant differences as compared to rdr6 plants. Asterisks *** and **** indicate statistically significant differences at a P value ≤0.05 and ≤0.01 respectively. These experiments were performed in two biological replicates with similar results.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003883.g005
with *Pto* DC3000 expressing AvrRpt2, a bacterial effector that is recognized by another CNL that is not targeted by miR472 (Figure S12, [15]). We next inoculated the *Pto* DC3000 (AvrPphB) strain on the miR472OE reference line and monitored bacterial titers as well as disease symptoms at 4 days post-inoculation. Interestingly, we found a significant enhanced *Pto* DC3000 (AvrPphB) titer in the miR472OE line as compared to WT-infected plants (Figure 6B), which was associated with a rescue of both chlorotic and necrotic disease symptoms in this transgenic plants (data not shown), thereby mimicking the phenotypes observed in *pt5* loss-of-function mutants (Figure 6A, [47]). We conclude that overexpression of miR472 is sufficient to compromise RPS5-mediated resistance, which is consistent with the reduced levels of RPS5 mRNAs in this transgenic line (Figures 5A, S6). Collectively, these results indicate that miR472 and RDR6 negatively regulate not only PTI but also RPS5-mediated resistance, suggesting a critical role for RPS5 and other CNLs in basal and race-specific immunity.

Proper chaperoning of NB-LRRs is required for the enhanced basal immunity and RPS5-mediated resistance phenotypes observed in the *rdr6* mutant

The predicted target site of miR472 is embedded within a region encoding the P-loop domain, which is highly conserved in a large repertoire of CNL disease resistance proteins [9]. It is therefore likely that multiple CNLs are controlled by this particular miRNA and, in agreement, 19 CNL transcripts were experimentally validated as miR472 targets in Arabidopsis seedlings overexpressing miR472 (Figures 4, S7). This suggests that the enhanced basal resistance phenotype observed in the *rdr6* and *miR472* −/− mutants might not only be due to the constitutive expression and/or primed induction of the few CNLs that have been characterized in these mutant backgrounds (e.g. RPS5), but also likely to multiple other relatives that are targeted by these small RNAs, rendering the functional characterization of these CNLs challenging. To circumvent this issue, we first introduced, in the *rdr6* mutant background, mutations that abolish CNL-mediated signaling, and subsequently monitored *Pto* DC3000 titer in these double mutant backgrounds. Since several CNLs are known to trigger SA-signaling/biosynthesis [51], including RPS5 [52], we hypothesized that the SA-dependent defense response might be constitutive in the *rdr6* mutant background. Consistent with this idea, we found a constitutive expression of the SA-dependent marker gene *PATHOGENESIS-RELATED 1* (PR1) and the *ISOCHORISMATE SYNTHASE* (ICS1) (Figures 7A, 7B) [51,53], as well as an enhanced resistance to *Pto* DC3000, in the *rdr6* mutant as compared to WT control (Figures 7C, 7D, 7E, 7F). Importantly, this increased resistance to *Pto* DC3000 was abolished by introducing mutations that compromise SA-biosynthesis (the *sid2-2* mutation, [53]) or SA-signaling (the *npr1-1* mutation, [54]) in the *rdr6-15* mutant background (Figures 7C, 7D). These results therefore indicate that the enhanced basal resistance achieved in the *rdr6* mutant relies on the constitutive activation of the SA-dependent defense response, which might be initially triggered by the enhanced accumulation of CNLs that are no longer controlled by RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs in this mutant background.

To get further insights into the role of these CNLs in the enhanced basal resistance phenotype observed in the *rdr6* mutant, we took advantage of the property of the REQUIRED FOR MLA12 RESISTANCE (RAR1) protein. RAR1 is part of a molecular chaperone complex, containing HEAT SHOCK PROTEIN 90 (HSP90) and SUPPRESSOR OF G-TWO ALLELE OF SKP1 (SGT1), and plays a major role in NLR protein stability and activity [55–65]. Importantly, the steady-state accumulation of several CNL proteins, including RPS5, was shown to be dramatically impaired in *rar1* loss-of-function mutants [58,64–68]. We thus reasoned that by introducing a *rar1* loss-of-function mutation in the *rdr6* mutant background, we would destabilize CNL proteins whose cognate mRNAs are targeted by RDR6-dependent siRNAs, and therefore potentially restore disease susceptibility. Consistent with this hypothesis, we found that the increased resistance achieved in the *rdr6* mutant was abolished in the *rdr6-rar1* double mutant (Figure 7E). It is noteworthy that an enhanced *Pto* DC3000 titer was also found in the single *rar1* and double *rdr6-rar1* mutants as compared to WT control, indicating that RAR1 contributes to basal resistance as previously reported [64]. Given that RDR6 was found to negatively regulate RPS3-mediated resistance (Figure 6), we also monitored *Pto* DC3000 (AvrPphB) titer in the single *rdr6* mutant as compared to the *rdr6-rar1* double mutant. Results from these analyses indicated that the enhanced RPS3-mediated resistance observed in *rdr6* mutants was partially compromised in the *rdr6-rar1* mutant (Figure 7F). Collectively, these results indicate that the increased basal and specific resistance observed in the *rdr6* mutant is dependent on the proper chaperoning of CNL proteins (e.g. RPS5), and might therefore be due to the enhanced accumulation of CNL proteins whose cognate mRNAs are no
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A. WT and rdr6 leaves showing different resistance to a pathogen.

B. Relative mRNA value of PR1 and ICS1 in WT and rdr6.

C. Log_{10} CFU/cm² for Pto DC3000 in WT, rdr6, sid2, and sid2rdr6.

D. Log_{10} CFU/cm² for Pto DC3000 in WT, rdr6, npr1, and npr1rdr6.

E. Log_{10} CFU/cm² for Pto DC3000 (AvrPphB) in WT, rar1, rdr6, and rar1rdr6.

F. Log_{10} CFU/cm² for Pto DC3000 (AvrPphB) in rdr6, WT, rar1, and rar1rdr6.
Figure 7. Enhanced basal resistance towards \textit{Pto} DC3000 observed in the \textit{rdr6} mutant requires SA and proper chaperoning of NLRs. (A) \textit{\beta}-glucuronidase (GUS) activity in plants PR1p:GUS and \textit{rdr6} PR1p:GUS plants reporting PR1 transcriptional activity in WT and \textit{rdr6}-15 mutant, respectively. (B) The transcript level of PR1 and ICS1 were detected by RT-qPCR. Error bars indicate standard deviation from technical repeats. Expression levels are normalized to the same internal controls At2g36060, At4g29130, and At5g13440. (C) Bacterial growth in five- to six-week-old plants from WT, single \textit{rdr6}-15 and \textit{sid2}-2 mutants or double \textit{rdr6-sid2} mutant infiltrated with \textit{Pto} DC3000 (2 \times 10^7 CFU mL^{-1}). (D) Bacterial growth in five- to six-week-old plants from WT, simple \textit{rdr6}-15 and \textit{nrp1}-1 mutants or double \textit{rdr6-nrp1} mutant infiltrated with \textit{Pto} DC3000 (2 \times 10^7 CFU mL^{-1}). (E) Bacterial growth in five- to six-week-old plants from WT, single (\textit{rdr6}-15, \textit{rar1}-21) or double mutant (\textit{rdr6-rar1}) infiltrated with \textit{Pto} DC3000 (2 \times 10^7 CFU mL^{-1}). (F) Bacterial growth in five- to six-week-old plants from WT, single (\textit{rdr6}-15, \textit{rar1}-21) or double mutant (\textit{rdr6-rar1}) infiltrated with \textit{Pto} DC3000 (AvrPphB) (2 \times 10^7 CFU mL^{-1}). For C, D, E and F values are average \pm se of four leaf discs (\(n=8\)). Wilcoxon test was performed to determine the significant differences between \textit{rdr6} and double mutant plants. Asterisks ** and *** indicate statistically significant differences at a \(P\) value < 0.01 and < 0.005 respectively. Experiments were performed in two independent biological replicates with similar results.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003883.g007

longer regulated by endogenous secondary siRNAs in this silencing-defective mutant.

Discussion

\textit{Arabidopsis} \textit{RDR6} negatively regulates PTI, basal resistance, SA-dependent defense and \textit{RPS5}-mediated resistance

\textit{RDR6} has been clearly implicated as a positive regulator of virus and viroid resistance. Indeed silencing of \textit{RDR6} in \textit{Nicotiana benthamiana} results in hyper-susceptibility to some viruses and viroids [29,30]. Moreover, in \textit{situ} hybridization shows that viruses and viroids invade floral and vegetative meristems of \textit{N. benthamiana} \textit{rdr6} RNAi plants [69,70]. Here, by combining microbiological, genetic, genomic and molecular techniques, we demonstrate that \textit{RDR6} also acts as a negative regulator of PTI, basal defense as well as \textit{RPS5}-mediated resistance. Indeed, we first showed that knock-out of \textit{RDR6} renders the plants more resistant to the hemibiotic pathogen \textit{Pto} DC3000 and to the avirulent \textit{Pto} DC3000 (\textit{AvrPphB}) strain (\textit{Figures 2D, 6A, 7C, 7D, 7E}). Furthermore, classical PTI responses such as ROS production, mRNA accumulation of PAMP-response genes as well as callose deposition were increased in \textit{rdr6} plants as compared to WT plants upon \textit{flg22} treatment (\textit{Figures 2A, 2B, 2G}) [71]. Our results are thus in sharp contrast with the previously reported PTI phenotypes observed in \textit{ago1} loss-of-function mutants [38]. Why is there such a discrepancy between these PTGS-defective mutant phenotypes during PTI? One would argue that AGO1 is not only involved in the siRNA pathway but also in the canonical miRNA pathway. AGO1 impairment has thus additional consequences on the action of several miRNAs necessary for PTI [38,72], thereby leading to the previously reported compromised PTI responses in \textit{ago1} loss-of-function mutants such as in other miRNA-defective mutants [37,38]. It is also possible that \textit{RDR6}-derived siRNAs that target disease resistance genes may not only be loaded into AGO1-RISC but also into other as-yet unknown AGO-RISCs, thereby contributing in part to the post-transcriptional regulation of CNLs in an AGO1-independent manner.

We also observed a constitutive activation of the SA defense marker gene \textit{PR1} and an enhanced expression of \textit{ICS1} in the \textit{rdr6} loss-of-function mutant (\textit{Figures 7A, 7B}). To examine the involvement of the SA-dependent defense in the enhanced disease resistance phenotype observed in \textit{rdr6} mutant, the \textit{rdr6}-15 mutation was combined with the \textit{sid2}-2, a loss-of-function mutation in \textit{ICS1} also referred to as \textit{SID2} [53]. Inactivation of \textit{ISC1/SID2} abolishes \textit{rdr6} resistance to \textit{Pto} DC3000 and similar results were obtained in the \textit{nrp1} mutant, which is impaired in SA signaling [54] (\textit{Figures 7C, 7D}). Therefore, the SA-dependent defense pathway plays a critical role in the enhanced basal resistance phenotype observed in the \textit{rdr6} mutant. Such constitutive SA-dependent defense response might result from a derepression of a subset of CNL transcripts (e.g. \textit{RPS5} miRNAs) that are no longer regulated by secondary siRNAs in this silencing-defective mutant. Additionally, it may result from the post-translational activation of R proteins that would be constitutively present in a protein complex with \textit{RDR6} and active in the absence of this silencing factor, as observed in classical ‘guarder’ mutants [10]. Further investigations will be necessary to address these possibilities. Moreover, additional experiments will be required to determine whether the constitutive SA-dependent defense response observed in the \textit{rdr6} mutant is linked with the mild constitutive PTI responses in this silencing-defective mutant or whether both processes remain independent.

We observed a higher expression of PAMP-response marker genes in unchallenged \textit{rdr6} mutant as compared to WT seedlings and a significant hyper-induction of \textit{FRK1} in the \textit{rdr6}-elicited mutant (\textit{Figure 2B}). Furthermore, a more pronounced callose deposition as well as ROS production were observed in the \textit{rdr6} mutant challenged with \textit{flg22} as compared to WT-elicited seedlings (\textit{Figures 2A, 2C}), indicating that this silencing-defective mutant is in a physiological situation known as ‘primed’ state [73]. Those results also indicate that \textit{RDR6} encodes a novel negative regulator of PTI and further reinforce the idea that PTI is under a tight negative regulatory control as previously reported [2,74,75,76]. Interestingly, an analogous RNA silencing-dependent regulatory phenomenon has been recently described in the transcriptional control of a disease resistance gene during PTI [24]. In this case, \textit{flg22} was shown to trigger the repression of a subset of RNA-directed DNA methylation factors and this process was associated with TGS release and with the transcriptional activation of this immune receptor, which is targeted by siRNA-directed DNA methylation in its promoter region [24]. Although \textit{RDR6} miRNAs were down-regulated in response to \textit{flg22} as compared to WT-elicited seedlings (\textit{Figure 2B}), it remains to be tested whether this molecular effect could be accompanied with a decrease in \textit{RDR6} protein levels as well as an eventual global release of \textit{RDR6}-silencing as part of PTI responses.

\textit{Arabidopsis} \textit{RDR6} and \textit{miR472} repress basal resistance and \textit{RPS5}-mediated resistance likely by controlling a subset of CNLs at the post-transcriptional level

How does \textit{RDR6} repress PTI, basal resistance and \textit{RPS5}-mediated resistance? A first \textit{in silico} analysis of small RNA populations derived from \textit{rdr6} mutant as compared to wild-type leaf samples allowed us to identify \textit{R} gene miRNA candidates that are targeted by \textit{miR472}-dependent secondary siRNAs (\textit{Figure S4}). However, the low abundance of secondary siRNAs in the majority of cases limited the identification of such \textit{miR472}/\textit{RDR6} targets. By contrast, the use of our transgenic line overexpressing \textit{miR472} was instrumental in identifying with confidence \textit{19 bona fide CNL target transcripts} that contain the \textit{miR472} recognition site as well as a large number of secondary siRNAs located downstream of their \textit{miR472}-guided cleavage site (\textit{Figures 4, 59}). Among these candidates, we have identified \textit{RPS5} and SUMM2 transcripts,
which encode functionally relevant disease resistance proteins with well-characterized role in ETI [47,50,56]. These results therefore suggested that the miR472/RDR6-silencing pathway inhibits the accumulation not only of disease resistance gene transcripts encoding R proteins required for PTI and basal resistance but also of transcripts encoding immune receptors required for ETI. This implicates miR472 and RDR6 in a central regulatory pathway that modulates both ETI and PTI responses. Consistent with this, we found that RDR6 and miR472 act not only as negative regulators of PTI and basal immunity but also as repressors of RPS3-mediated resistance (Figure 6). In addition, the use of the ror1 mutant, which destabilizes disease resistance proteins including RPS5 [58,59] was useful to provide genetic evidence that the enhanced disease resistance phenotypes observed in the rdr6 mutant is likely the result of a higher accumulation of NB-LRR proteins in this silencing-defective mutant (Figure 7).

The present work also provides genetic evidence that miR472- and RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs efficiently control the steady state levels of three CNL transcripts. Indeed, we first showed that RPS5, RSG1 and RSG2 mRNAs were moderately up-regulated in untreated rdr6 mutant and significantly hyper-induced in this silencing-defective mutant challenged with flg22 (Figure 3B). Accordingly, a lower level of RPS3 and RSG1 mRNAs was detected in the miR472OE line (Figure 6E) and a compromised induction of these CNL transcripts was also observed in this transgenic line challenged with flg22 (Figures 5A, 5B, 5C). Conversely, the miR472 knock-down line displayed higher accumulation of CNL mRNAs, which was associated with increased PTI responses (Figure 5), therefore mimicking the phenotypes observed in the rdr6 mutant (Figure 2).

Collectively, these results indicate that both Arabidopsis RDR6 and miR472 negatively regulate the steady state levels of these candidate CNL transcripts in normal growth conditions and during PTI, although these effects appear more pronounced during the elicitation possibly due to the concomitant transcriptional activation of these R genes as previously demonstrated for other biotic stress responsive disease resistance genes [17,18,48].

Based on these results, we propose a model, which integrates the contribution of the miR472/RDR6-dependent PTGS pathway in plant immunity (Figure 8). In unchallenged conditions, both miR472 and RDR6 are constitutively expressed and negatively regulate a subset of CNL mRNAs at the post-transcriptional level (Figure 8). MiR472 guides cleavage of RPS5, RSG1, RSG2 and at least 16 other CNL transcripts that carry miR472 recognition sites and RDR6 uses 3’ cleavage products as substrates to generate dsRNAs that are presumably processed by DCL4 into 21 nt siRNAs (Figure 8). These secondary siRNAs can act in cis by guiding mRNA degradation of the CNL transcripts from which they are produced, but also likely in trans presumably by targeting CNLs as well as unregulated mRNAs that display sequence complementarity to these small RNA species as was recently suggested in tomato [21], Figure S7).

It is also likely that the genes encoding the above immune receptors remain at a transcriptionally inactive state in unchallenged conditions as demonstrated for several other disease resistance genes [17,48]. In this case, the concomitant low basal transcriptional expression of CNLs and the miR472/RDR6-dependent post-transcriptional regulatory process would effectively deplete immune receptor mRNAs in the absence of pathogens, thus preventing an autoimmune response that would have detrimental consequences on plant fitness [1,77]. This is reminiscent of recent findings on other 22 nt miRNAs/secondary siRNAs that target NLR transcripts in different plant species [20,21,22], as well as with the observation that the production of siRNAs at the disease resistance RPP4 cluster repress basal expression of several R gene transcripts within this cluster and likewise prevent constitutive activation of the SA-dependent defense pathway [10]. Our model also suggests that the mature form of miR472 is down-regulated during PTI, as a 4-fold decrease in the accumulation of this microRNA was observed in small RNA libraries generated by Li et al [38] upon flg22 treatment, which was confirmed in Arabidopsis leaves and seedlings treated with flg22 (Figure S13). We thus propose that upon pathogen detection, and perhaps also perception of non-adapted microbes, microbes-associated molecular patterns trigger the down-regulation of miR472, which in concert with the eventual transcriptional activation of CNLs, may contribute to the transient enhanced accumulation of CNL mRNAs/proteins at an early phase of the elicitation (Figure 8).

This gene regulatory mechanism may also be reinforced by the down-regulation of RDR6-dependent silencing pathway as suggested by the rapid repression of RDR6 mRNAs during PTI (Figure 1). At a later phase of the elicitation, we propose that this double post-transcriptional layer of regulation mediated by miR472 and RDR6 likely trigger a robust resilencing of these CNL transcripts to prevent a sustained activation of the plant immune response.

**Functional relevance of disease resistance proteins in both ETI and PTI responses**

Although R proteins have been extensively characterized in ETI [10], there is increasing evidence that these immune receptors can also contribute to basal defense as well as PTI responses in plants [78]. For example, a compromised basal resistance to virulent Pto DC3000 was previously reported in a ror1 loss-of-function mutant [64], and confirmed in the present study (Figure 7E), suggesting that plant NLRs contribute to basal immunity. More recently, a subclade of CNL proteins, characterized as ‘helper NB-LRR’, where not only required for ETI but also for basal resistance and this process was independent of their P-loop motifs [79]. Importantly, these CNLs additionally regulate PAMP-triggered SA-accumulation in response to a disarmed P. syringae strain, which provides evidence that plant NLRs contribute to PTI [79]. Nevertheless, these CNLs do not control early events of PTI responses triggered by flg22 or the elongation factor-derived peptide elf18, indicating that these immune receptors likely act downstream or independently of these early PTI signaling events [79]. In the present work, we showed that another subclade of CNLs, which are targeted by miR472 and RDR6-dependent siRNAs, possibly contribute to multiple PTI signaling events, including potentially flg22-triggered callose deposition and ROS production (Figure 3B). Interestingly, the product of one if this mRNA target, the RPS3 protein, was previously shown to reside in the same protein complex as the PTI receptor FLS2 [80], further supporting a molecular link between ETI and PTI components.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion we have established a direct link between miR472/RDR6-dependent PTGS and plant immunity. We showed that both miR472 and RDR6 act as negative regulators of PTI and ETI, presumably by repressing a subset of CNLs at the post-transcriptional level. Our data therefore sustain previous anticipations suggesting that in addition to their role in specific resistance, R proteins contribute to PTI [10,64,78,81,82]. Furthermore, given that flg22 as well as disarmed bacteria were shown to trigger Systemic Acquired Resistance (SAR), such as in response to pathogens expressing Avr products [83–86], we speculate that a potential release of miR472- and eventually of RDR6-dependent PTGS may also occur in distal tissues, and thereby might contribute to the transient derepression of a whole repertoire of disease resistance genes as part of the SAR response.
Materials and Methods

Plants and bacterial strains

Arabidopsis thaliana seeds from the Col-0 accession were used as wild-type, the rdr6-15 T-DNA insertion line has been previously described in Xi et al [87]. We also used sid2-2, npr1-1 and rar1-21 mutant alleles. Plants were genotyped with the following primers and conditions: rdr6 (RDR6_LP: TGAATCCATTCCTGAACAG-GC; RDR6_RP: CAATGCAACCTCATCTTGATGG; LB3: TAGCAATCTGATTTTACAACTACCAGCTCGTAC), npr1 (1g64280_F: AGGGGATATACGGTGCTTCAT; 1g64280_R: GAGCAGCGTCATCTTCAATTC); sid2 (sid2_F: CAGTCTGAA- GAAAGAACCTCGAGTT; sid2_R: CTCACTCATCTCTTCGTT-CAGATTGC); rar1 (5g51700_F: AAGCAGGAG-
RNA extraction and RT-qPCR analyses

For RNA extraction, leaves or seedlings were collected, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and then stored at −80°C. Total RNA was prepared by TRIZol (Invitrogen) extraction as recommended by the supplier (Invitrogen). For RT-PCR analysis, first-strand cDNA was synthesized using Superscript reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen), from 1 µg of RNase-free DNasel-treated (Promega) total RNA in a 20 µl reaction volume. Quantitative PCR reactions were performed on 1/40 of cDNA, 300 nM final concentration of each primer pair and LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master 2x conc. (Roche). PCR was performed in 304-well optical reaction plates heated at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s and annealing and elongation at 60°C for 30 s. A melting curve was performed at the end of the amplification by steps of 1°C (from 95°C to 50°C). Each experiment was repeated two to three times. Transcript levels were normalized to that of At2G36060, At4G29130 and At3G13440 genes. These reference genes display invariant expression over hundreds of publicly available microarray experiments. The gene-specific primers used in this analysis were listed in Figure S14.

For miR472 quantification, total RNA was isolated from plants using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and treated with RNase-free DNasel (Promega). Small RNAs were polyadenylated with ATP by poly(A) polymerase following the manufacturer’s directions for the Poly(A) Tailing Kit (Ambion). After phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation, the RNAs were reverse-transcribed with 200 U SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and 0.5 µg poly(T) adapter (Figure S14) according to the manufacturer’s protocols (Invitrogen). The cDNAs were used for qPCR with miR472 as one primer and the reverse primer as described by Shi and Chiang [91]. 5,8S ribosomal RNA gene was used as internal control as previously described [91]. Sequences of miR472, reverse primer, poly(T) adapter and 5S primers are listed in Figure S14.

Deep-sequencing

Total cellular RNA (5 µg), extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) was processed into sequencing libraries using adapted Illuma protocols and sequenced at Fasteris (http://www.fasteris.com, Switzerland) using the Hi-seq 2000 sequencer. All next-generation sequencing data have been deposited to the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO).

Bioinformatic analyses

We took advantage of publicly available sRNA libraries from leaf tissue [92]. These data correspond to 2 replicates of WT and rdr6 sRNA sequenced using Illumina Genome Analyser technology. Replicates were pooled and sequence reads were matched against the Arabidopsis thaliana genome (TAIR10) using MUMmer v3.0 [93]. Only 15 to 30-nt long sRNAs reads with perfect match over their entire length were analysed further (2 434 780 and 1 733 064 for WT and rdr6 respectively). The number of 20–22 nt reads matching TAIR10 annotated protein coding genes locus or tasiRNA were then compared between WT and rdr6 libraries by differential analysis with NOISeq [94] using the parameters indicated below: k = NULL, norm = “rpkm”, long = 1000, q = 0.90, pnr = 0.5, nss = 1000, v = 0.02, lc = 1.

The WT and miR472OE sRNA libraries, containing 17 828 872 and 30 869 878 sRNA reads respectively, were processed using the same methods. Over those reads, 88.9% are 15 to 30-nt long and can be perfectly aligned to Arabidopsis genome. The miR472OE line was validated by comparing the number of reads mapping to all miRNA stem-loop loci (miRBase release 19; [95–98]) between WT and mutant sRNA libraries. Differential analysis of 20–22 nt reads in genes has then been done as described in the previous paragraph.
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Supporting Information

Figure S1 Transcript levels of several PTGS genes in response to biotic stresses. (A) The results of 48 different conditions of perturbation (Genevestigator: https://www.genevestigator.com) were compiled and boxplots were generated for each PTGS gene. Relative expression is the ratio (Log2) between treated and untreated plants. (B) Relative RDR6 mRNA levels in plants treated with PAMPs, microbial elicitors or P. syringae non-host (data from Genevestigator). (C) Transcription levels of RDR6 and AGO1 detected by RT-qPCR. (Left) seedling plants infiltrated with Pto DC3000 hrcC− or Pto DC3000. Expression levels are relative to three reference genes (At2g36060; At4g29130; At5g13440). The Log2 of mRNA values is normalized to that of WT plants treated with water (seedlings) or infiltrated with MgCl2 (leaves). Error bars indicate standard deviation from technical repeats. Similar results were obtained in two biological replicates. (TIF)

Figure S2 List of genes from WT and rdr6 sRNAs public libraries producing RDR6-dependent siRNAs, which are not TAS genes, nor tasiRNAs targets. (PDF)

Figure S3 Genes targeted by microRNAs and RDR6-dependent siRNAs. (PDF)

Figure S4 Snap shot of two resistance genes, At1g51480 (RSG1) and At5g43730 (RSG2), showing a reduced number of 21–22 nt siRNAs in rdr6 background compared to WT leaves. (TIF)

Figure S5 CNL transcripts accumulate after flg22 treatment. Ratio (Log2) of At1g12220 (RPS5), At1g51480 (RSG1) and At5g43730 (RSG2) expression levels between flg22-treated and untreated leaf discs with flg22 for 1 hour and 2 hours from publicly available microarrays data (Genevestigator: https://www.genevestigator.com). (TIF)

Figure S6 Expression levels of At1g12220 and At5g1480 detected by RT-qPCR in WT, and miR472OE (over-expressor) untreated seedlings. (TIF)

Figure S7 List of genes, which accumulate more siRNAs (21–22 nt) in miR472OE than in WT. In bold: resistance genes, in italic: putative targets of secondary siRNAs. (PDF)

Figure S8 Mature Tasi RNAs accumulation is not affected in miR472OE line. (TIF)
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